# CSM Committee on Teaching and Learning (CTL) Monday, October 26, 2020, 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Zoom: https://smccd.zoom.us/j/96681929013?pwd=SXBaN0YybVVJbCs3OEZLbFJuM0xCdz09

Committee Membership: Liz Schuler, Madeleine Murphy, Michael Vargas, Leo Cruz, Steve Gonzales, Aurora Medrano, LaShonda Kennedy, Marisol Quevedo/Erica Reynolds, Rachel Cunningham, Tabitha Conaway, Tarana Chapple, Heeju Jang, Beth LaRochelle, Minu Mathur, Andrea Morales, Tabia Lee, Elinor Westfold, Jon Kitamura, Allie Fasth, Jeff Flowers

Optional Guests: TBD

Chair/Co-chairs: Madeleine Murphy and Liz Schuler

Note-Taker: Madeleine Murphy

# **Draft Agenda**

Agenda Item	Description	Time	Motion
1.	Review and Approve Agenda - approved	3 min.	Action
2.	Review and Approve Minutes from 8/31? and 9/28 (video) approved	3 min.	Action
3.	Check in/Report out from CTL Membership (more new folks!)  Introduce yourself and your role(s) on campus and in committee:  How are you doing?  What's up in your department/division?  Do you have any feedback from Flex Day?  What do you want to know about SLO assessment?	30 min.	Discussion
4.	CTL committee restructure - updates	10 min.	Discussion
5.	Choosing an ILO activity for Spring 2021 (See handout below) Background reading: https://collegeofsanmateo.edu/sloac/docs/GE_SLO_1_Report. pdf https://collegeofsanmateo.edu/sloac/docs/2018_ILO_activity_re port.pdf	20 min	Discussion / Action

6. 7.	https://collegeofsanmateo.edu/sloac/docs/2019_ILO_student_a ctivity.pdf https://collegeofsanmateo.edu/sloac/docs/2019_assessment_r eport.pdf  Brown bag updates  October Flex Day Feedback/Input  Individual Flex Day sessions (Google form) Flex Day (Formstack)	10 min 10 min.	Discussion Discussion
	<ul><li>Notes from Student panel</li><li>Sign in Sheets</li></ul>		
8.	Spring Flex Day Planning  ■ Flex Day Planning Grid  ■ Decide on themes for January 14 & 15 and April 22  □ CSM specific topics □ Districtwide opportunities - speakers?	30 min.	Discussion/ Action
9.	Agenda Items for Next Meeting  • Finalize January Flex Day schedule	3 min.	Discussion
10.	Reminder of Date of Next Meeting and Schedule Notetaker (4th Mondays)  Monday, November 23, 2020 - Elinor  Monday, December 14, 2020 - Rachel  Monday, January 25, 2021 - LaShonda  Monday, February 22, 2021  Monday, March 22, 2021  Monday, April 26, 2021  Monday, May 23, 2021 (cancel/reschedule?)	1 min.	Information
10.	Future Topics Assessment		

# **SPRING 2021 – options for ILO activities**

**GOAL:** To gauge how well students are achieving learning goals in interdisciplinary skills (i.e., the Institutional learning outcomes)

# WHAT WE'VE TRIED:

- · Climate survey (ongoing)
- · Interdisciplinary faculty assessment/discussion group
- Student activities (discussions)
- · Student focus group

## **OPTIONS:**

# 1. Mapping

Pool together SLO assessment results for specific course-level outcomes aligned with ILOs; use these to assess student learning

## **Pros:**

 data is automatically generated by everyone entering SLO results at the course level

## Cons:

- we no longer do pro forma SLO results at course level; would have to revert to earlier (universally disliked) methods
- Involves buying and maintaining an expensive data base to create tracking methods
- Compares apples to oranges ("critical thinking" means different things in different courses!)
- · It's theater doesn't tell us anything

# 2. Student questionnaire

The current SMCCD climate survey includes questions addressing the ILOs.

## **Pros:**

Part of a regular,
 widely-administered survey
 with good and diverse
 return

## Cons:

- Student self-assessment has obvious limitations (typically over 90% positive)
- Doesn't yield actionable results

# 3. Interdisciplinary faculty assessment project - compensated

Small group of compensated faculty across disciplines get together, decide on a shared rubric, and apply this to their course grading to highlight specific ILO; reconvene to discuss results

## **Pros:**

 Meaningful inter-disciplinary discussion on shared and divergent learning outcomes

## Cons:

- Requires planning & faculty participation
- May not yield actionable results

# 4. Interdisciplinary faculty assessment project - flex

As #4, only the initial meeting takes place as a flex activity.

#### Pros:

 Meaningful inter-disciplinary discussion on shared and divergent learning outcomes

#### Cons:

- Requires planning & faculty participation
- No follow-up (faculty didn't apply rubric or create activity)

# 5. Student activity

Interdisciplinary group of students get together to do a discussion or perform a task, tailored to give an insight into how students' overall ILO skills were developing; faculty to observe, assess and draw conclusions.

#### **Pros:**

- · Direct, out-of-course student assessment gives a more meaningful insight into what students are *really* taking away from their coursework
- · Interesting and authentic

## Cons:

- Requires a lot of planning & faculty participation
- Difficult to secure student participation

# 6. Focus group

Focus groups of students respond to questions about their learning experience, designed to

#### Pros:

 Meaningful student take on what is and isn't working in their learning

#### Cons:

- Requires planning & faculty participation
- Doesn't always align with ILOs
- Difficult to get a representative student group

# 7. Targeted course selection

A particular course, strongly aligned with a specific ILO, is selected as an indicator of that ILO; faculty group (interdisciplinary?) creates & applies rubric to evaluate student work in response to a specific assignment in that course.

## **Pros:**

- Meaningful inter-disciplinary discussion
- Direct assessment of student work

## Cons:

- Requires planning & faculty participation
- Duplicates course assessment work
- Doesn't assess what students take away from the course

# 8. Targeted course grades

Select specific courses closely aligned with specific ILOs; use grades as indicator of ILO achievement.

# Pros:

- · Meaningful inter-disciplinary discussion
- · Direct assessment of student work
- Easy to retrieve and disaggregate student achievement data

## Cons:

- Doesn't assess what students take away from course
- · May leave some ILOs out
- Doesn't gauge interdisciplinary learning