2016-2017 Instructional Program Review

Program Name:  
Program Contact:  
Academic Year:  
Status:  
Updated on:  

NOTE: Program Review authors are strongly urged to share a draft of the program review with their Dean at least one week prior to submission. The Dean's perspective and input on the program review content is vital to it being as strong, accurate and persuasive as possible.

1. Description of Program
Provide a brief description of the program and how it supports the college's College Mission and Diversity Statements, CSM Strategic Goals 2013/14 to 2015/16, and other Institutional Program Planning as appropriate. What is the program's vision for sustaining and improving student learning and success over the next three years?

2. Student Learning and Program Data
A. Discuss Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

1. Reflect on recent SLO assessment results for courses offered by the program. Identify trends and discuss areas in need of improvement. Specify how SLO assessment informs curriculum development and changes to curriculum.

2. Comment on the success rates in the program SLOs that are aligned with specific course SLOs. What do the program SLO and course data reveal about students completing the program? Identify trends and discuss areas in need of improvement. Is the alignment between course and program SLOs appropriate and informative? Describe any additional methods used to assess program SLOs and reflect on the results of those assessments. See course-to-program SLO alignment mapping.

3. For any courses in the program that satisfy a GE requirement, which GE SLOs are supported or reinforced by the course SLOs? What do assessment results for the course SLOs (and for the GE SLOs, if available) reveal about student attainment of the GE SLOs? See GE SLO Alignment Summary Report or All Courses GE SLO Alignment Data.

B. Student Success Indicators

1. Review Student Success and Core Program Indicators and discuss any differences in student success indicators across demographic variables. Also refer to the College Index and other relevant sections of the Educational Master Plan: Update, 2012, e.g., Student Outcomes and Student Outcomes: Transfer. Basic Skills programs should also refer to ARCC data.

2. Discuss any differences in student success indicators across modes of delivery (on-campus versus distance education). Refer to Delivery Mode Course Comparison.

C. Program Efficiency Indicators. Do we deliver programs efficiently given our resources? Summarize trends in program efficiency as indicated in the Student Success and Core Program Indicators (LOAD, Full-time and Part-time FTEF, etc.)

3. Additional Factors
Discuss additional factors as applicable that impact the program, including changes in student populations, state-wide initiatives, transfer requirements, advisory committee recommendations, legal mandates, workforce development and employment opportunities, community needs. See Institutional Research as needed.

4. Planning

A. Results of Program Plans and Actions

Describe results, including measurable outcomes, from plans and actions in recent program reviews.

B. Future Program Plans and Actions

Prioritize the plans to be carried out to sustain and improve student success. Briefly describe each plan and how it supports the CSM Strategic Goals 2013/14 to 2015/16. For each plan, list actions and measurable outcomes. Plans may extend beyond a single year. Describe the professional activities and institutional collaborations that would be most effective in carrying out the program's vision to improve student learning and success.

5. Program Maintenance

A. Course Outline Updates

Review the course outline update record. List the courses that will be updated in the next academic year. For each course that will be updated, provide a faculty contact and the planned submission month. See the Committee on Instruction website for course submission instructions. Contact your division's COI representatives if you have questions about submission deadlines. Career and Technical Education courses must be updated every two years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses to be updated</th>
<th>Faculty contact</th>
<th>Submission month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Website Review

Review the program's website(s) annually and update as needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty contact(s)</th>
<th>Date of next review/update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. SLO Assessment Contacts

| Faculty contact(s) | Date of next review/update |

6. Dominant themes summary for IPC

Briefly summarize the dominant, most important themes or trends contained in this program review, for division deans to collect and forward to the Institutional Planning Committee. What are the key program issues that matter most? (Brief paragraph or bullet points acceptable).