Revision Overview
By the end of April we need to have a clear set of priorities for the program review form—considering accreditation and SLO reporting and any related essentials. Laura Demsetz, David Locke, David Laderman, Eileen O’Brien, Teresa Morris, John Sewart, Milla McConnell, and I will meet initially to set the basic parameters. (Susan Estes, Teeka James, and Cheryl Gregory have been invited to join us.) By the end of fall semester, we should be finished with a draft we can put to an all faculty vote.

Here is a rough timeline to get the revision process underway:

**Program Review priorities:** By the end of April, April 27.
Meeting: April 18, 2:30 to 4:30.
John Sewart, Milla, Laura, David, Teresa, Eileen, James, David, Teeka, Cheryl, and Susan. We identify the essentials and list some initial priorities for revision, including data collection/reporting. Plan faculty study sessions.

**Two Faculty study sessions:** First week of May, April 30 to May 3.
We invite faculty who have just completed or recently completed comprehensive program reviews to share their ideas for improvement, using a focus group like format.

**Faculty work group meets:** Second week of May, May 7 to May 11.
Teeka (Instructional), Eileen (Student Services), Cheryl (Centers)
We consider the priorities, feedback, and suggestions. Carranza will collect and share feedback and suggestions from deans and directors.

Drafting begins early Fall ‘12. PR forms to be completed by November 1, 2012.