## State-Funded Library Services Platform Information

Librarians at CSM have determined that the pros of the LSP outweigh the cons, and strongly recommend adoption of the state-funded LSP to manage library user accounts and resources.

Pros	Cons
State-funding for the LSP implementation period has been secured (11/15/2018 contract due for Jan 2019-Feb 2020 period)	The District has declined to sign the contract at this timeWe are not eligible for state- funding for the implementation period
Implementation period includes professional development, training, & technical support from CCC systems librarians, vendor migration experts, & cohort librarians to support library staff & faculty during migration	We will not benefit from support from migration cohort librarians because the District has opted not to sign the contract at this time. Time & workload will be issues locally.
District indicated late adoption costs are okay	Late adoption costs total \$64,017
State-funding has been approved by the Board of Governors for the next 5 years	The state-funding is not yet a line item in the California budget
Annual cost savings: On adoption of the state-funded LSP, the District would eliminate the need to pay the Peninsula Library System (PLS) annually a substantial amount for a similar product	The District pays ~\$93,250/year to PLS across 2 categories. 1) Primary shared cost charged is \$48,767 which includes LSP & PLS overhead: HR, technical support, rent, etc. 2) Individually billed items such as databases, delivery, and other technical services. OverDrive Ebooks and Delivery are the most substantial costs in category 2, which are priced at ~\$15,250 each.
PLS Joint Powers Agreement: JPAs are flexible, and can be altered. The PLS JPA has been altered in the past to include SMCCCD. The relationship with PLS doesn't have to end, though it would need to change	The relationship with PLS is based on a Joint Powers Agreement, that would need to be renegotiated with the other JPA member agencies across County of San Mateo.
The District makes up 3.81% of the PLS JPA, therefore any changes made to it will likely have a small impact on PLS member libraries and library users.	Some PLS library users may be more impacted than others. Usage data provided by PLS does not have a way to identify which users are students, faculty, or staff, and which are not affiliated with one of the colleges.

Options for changing our relationship with PLS: 1) Remain in the JPA, but renegotiate to avoid paying for resources funded through state; 2) Leave the JPA and formally partner with PLS; 3) Leave the JPA without a continued partnership. Option 3 is not recommended.	Though aware of the state-funded LSP, and the potential for change, there has not yet been any discussion with PLS about specific options. Negotiation will take time, and requires legal representation because JPA's are legal documents.
Most PLS databases do not need to be replaced <i>should that part of our agreement</i> <i>change</i> . Databases provided by PLS are either not targeted to college level academic research needs, or are already duplicated at the colleges by state-funded or other subscription databases.	On renegotiation, some services and databases will need to be funded by the District and/or individual college libraries: "Ezproxy" database authentication service and server maintenance; delivery; databases: Literature Resource Center (\$11,434 CSM) & AtoZdatabases; potentially some other low cost technical services. Quotes still needed.
A continued partnership can be formal or informal: Examples include community cards for non campus library users; participation in PLS events: community reading, Food for Fines, makerspace, and more; continued participation on PLS committees	Memos of Understandings or Lending Agreements would need to be drafted and agreed to by college libraries and PLS. Should we leave the JPA, participation at PLS committee meetings would likely be as non- voting members.
CSM Librarians have committed to working hours as needed during summer session to provide local support for the transition. Some systems support will be available from the state-wide LSP program team.	Needed: District staff Systems Librarian, temporary full time (1 year), and part time thereafter to support systems responsibilities currently managed by PLS. Other community college libraries across the state have already begun to post these positionsthe longer we wait to hire, fewer qualified & experienced candidates may be available.
All County of San Mateo residents are eligible for PLS Library Cards. Any resident of the State of California is eligible.	Depending on negotiated particulars, students may need two library cards to access resources from both the college and PLS libraries.
Interlibrary loan services do not rely on PLS and will continue to provide book and article delivery from other libraries.	Depending on negotiated particulars, item delivery between PLS and SMCCCD libraries would be impacted.
New collaborations with community college libraries & librarians benefit CSM & helps support Guided Pathways, Zero Cost Textbooks, educational equity efforts for students & adjunct faculty, and more	These partnerships are undeveloped, and would require monitoring of listserv messages, participation on committees, development of related policy & procedure, and/or other obligations.