Student Campus Climate & Satisfaction Survey General Education Student Learning Outcomes

Conducted June 2012 (n=1,132)



Data Included:

- Table A: Comprehensive Data
- Table B: Summary Data
- Table C: Ranked Data
- Table D: 2010-12 Comparative Data

Notes:

- "Total Agree" includes the numbers of respondents who "Strongly Agree" + "Agree" + "Somewhat Agree." "Total Disagree" includes the numbers of respondents who "Strongly Disagree" + "Disagree" + "Somewhat Disagree."
- In Tables A and B, counts are listed in (parentheses).
- In Table D:
 - Comparative data compare the total percentages of respondents who "Strongly Agree," "Agree," or "Somewhat Agree" with each question item.
 - o "Change" represents the change, expressed in percentage points, in percent share of the total.
 - o Trendlines are illustrative and are not to scale. Care should be taken in their interpretation.
 - o "Does Not Apply" responses are not factored into comparative data.
- Total respondents: Spring 2010 n=1,118; Spring 2011 n=1,397; Spring 2012 n=1,132.

Comprehensive Data

Ba CS	sed on My Experience at M	Agree Strongly	Agree	Somewhat Agree	Somewhat Disagree	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	Total Agree	Total Disagree	Does not Apply	Count
1.	I can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively in writing	44.1% (440)	44.3% (442)	10.0% (100)	0.9% (9)	0.5% (5)	0.2% (2)	98.4% (982)	1.6% (16)	91	1,089
2.	l can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively orally	40.2% (405)	46.5% (468)	11.4% (115)	1.1% (11)	0.5% (5)	0.3% (3)	98.1% (988)	1.9% (19)	83	1,090
3.	l can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information l read	43.7% (450)	46.8% (482)	8.4% (86)	0.4% (4)	0.6% (6)	0.1% (1)	98.9% (1,018)	1.1% (11)	61	1,090
4.	l can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information l hear	43.0% (442)	46.4% (477)	9.2% (94)	0.6% (6)	0.7% (7)	0.1% (1)	98.6% (1,013)	1.4% (14)	62	1,089
5.	l can communicate effectively in a group or team situation	42.8% (440)	44.2% (455)	11.1% (114)	0.9% (9)	1.0% (10)	0.1% (1)	98.1% (1,009)	1.9% (20)	60	1,089
6.	l can comprehend, interpret, and analyze numerical and or quantitative calculations, including those presented in graphs, tables, and charts	39.9% (397)	41.0% (408)	15.8% (157)	2.2% (21)	0.6% (6)	0.6% (6)	96.7% (962)	3.3% (33)	93	1,088
7.	l can effectively identify, develop, and evaluate arguments	37.9% (382)	48.2% (486)	12.2% (123)	1.2% (12)	0.4% (4)	0.2% (2)	98.2% (991)	1.8% (18)	83	1,092
8.	l can effectively assess the legitimacy or adequacy of different types of information	40.1% (406)	46.1% (467)	11.8% (120)	1.2% (12)	0.5% (5)	0.3% (3)	98.0% (993)	2.0% (20)	73	1,086
9.	I can work effectively with others of diverse backgrounds and acknowledge the value of diverse opinions and backgrounds	47.4% (488)	43.7% (450)	7.6% (78)	0.6% (6)	0.6% (6)	0.2% (2)	98.6% (1,016)	1.4% (14)	57	1,087
10.	l can identify ethical issues and evaluate their consequences	43.3% (429)	45.7% (453)	9.3% (92)	1.2% (12)	0.4% (4)	0.1% (1)	98.3% (974)	1.7% (17)	88	1,079

*Note: Response options are on a 6-point scale.

Table A

Summary Data

Ba	sed on My Experience at CSM	Total Agree	Total Disagree	Does not Apply	Count
1.	I can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively in writing	98.4% (982)	1.6% (16)	91	1,089
2.	l can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively orally	98.1% (988)	1.9% (19)	83	1,090
3.	I can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information I read	98.9% (1,018)	1.1% (11)	61	1,090
4.	l can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information l hear	98.6% (1,013)	1.4% (14)	62	1,089
5.	I can communicate effectively in a group or team situation	98.1% (1,009)	1.9% (20)	60	1,089
6.	l can comprehend, interpret, and analyze numerical and or quantitative calculations, including those presented in graphs, tables, and charts	96.7% (962)	3.3% (33)	93	1,088
7.	l can effectively identify, develop, and evaluate arguments	98.2% (991)	1.8% (18)	83	1,092
8.	I can effectively assess the legitimacy or adequacy of different types of information	98.0% (993)	2.0% (20)	73	1,086
9.	l can work effectively with others of diverse backgrounds and acknowledge the value of diverse opinions and backgrounds	98.6% (1,016)	1.4% (14)	57	1,087
10.	I can identify ethical issues and evaluate their consequences	98.3% (974)	1.7% (17)	88	1,079
					Taible P

Table B

Ranked Data

Count	Percent
1,018	98.9%
owledge the value of 1,016	98.6 %
1,013	98.6%
writing 982	98.4%
974	98.3%
991	98.2%
1,009	98.1%
ally 988	98.1%
pes of information 993	98.0%
ative calculations, 962	96.7%
r	Count 1,018 nowledge the value of 1,013 writing 982 974 991 1,009 rally pes of information 993 ative calculations, 962

Table C

Comparative Data

Based on my experience at CSM	2010	2011	2012	Trend 2010 - 2012	Change '10-'12	Change '11-'12
 I can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively in writing 	y 94.6	97.0	98.4		3.8	1.4
2. I can express ideas and provide supporting evidence effectively orally	y 93.7	97.2	98.1		4.4	0.9
3. I can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information I read	95.5	98.1	98.9		3.4	0.8
4. I can comprehend, interpret, and analyze information I hear	95.8	97.7	98.6		2.8	0.9
5. I can communicate effectively in a group or team situation	93.6	96.9	98.1		4.5	1.2
 I can comprehend, interpret, and analyze numerical and or quantitative calculations, including those presented in graphs, tables, and charts 	91.7	96.0	96.7		5.0	0.7
7. I can effectively identify, develop, and evaluate arguments	93.7	97.9	98.2		4.5	0.3
8. I can effectively assess the legitimacy or adequacy of different types of information	94.2	97.9	98.0		3.8	0.1
9. I can work effectively with others of diverse backgrounds and acknowledge the value of diverse opinions and backgrounds	95.4	98.3	98.6		3.2	0.3
10.1 can identify ethical issues and evaluate their consequences	94.9	97.6	98.3		3.4	0.7
						Table D