

The Program Review process should serve as a mechanism for the assessment of performance that recognizes and acknowledges good performance and academic excellence, improves the quality of instruction and services, updates programs and services, and fosters self-renewal and self-study. Further, it should provide for the identification of weak performance and assist programs in achieving needed improvement. Finally, program review should be seen as a component of campus planning that will not only lead to better utilization of existing resources, but also lead to increased quality of instruction and service. A major function of program review should be to monitor and pursue the congruence between the goals and priorities of the college and the actual practices in the program or service.

~Academic Senate for California Community Colleges

INSTRUCTIONS

This *Annual Update for Program Review and Planning* is due each year that your *Comprehensive Program Review and Planning* report is not due.

(For information about program review cycles, see Instructional and Student Services program review rotation schedules posted online in their respective sections of the program review webpage: http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/program_review/program_review.php)

Resources for Supporting Documentation:

A listing of resources and documents which provide data or information for each section is included at the end of this document, after the final signature page. These resources are posted online and their URLs are listed at the end of this document.

(You may delete this section, when you submit your final program review.)

Next Steps:

All *Annual* and *Comprehensive Program Review and Planning* reports are due March 25, 2010. This date is aligned with CSM's *Integrated Planning Calendar*.
(See: http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php.)

Upon its completion, please email this *Program Review and Planning* report to the Vice President of Instruction, the Vice President of Student Services, the appropriate division dean, the CSM Academic Senate President, and the Dean of Planning, Research, and Institutional Effectiveness (PRIE).

Diana Bennett, Academic Senate President, bennettd@smccd.edu
Susan Estes, Vice President of Instruction, estes@smccd.edu
Jennifer Hughes, Vice President of Student Services, hughesj@smccd.edu
John Sewart, Dean (PRIE), sewart@smccd.edu

DEPARTMENT OR PROGRAM: History Dept.

DIVISION: Creative Arts/Social Sciences

1. **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM:** This department offers a variety of courses that are primarily taken by students who are transferring and/or seeking an AA/AS degree. There are no majors and no certificates. There are no sequential course offerings.
2. Based on the elements in your *Core Program and Student Success Indicators* (provided by PRIE for each program) and the goals stated in your most recent Program Review, please identify any key successes and challenges.

Contrary to the last (Comprehensive) Program Review expectations, the Dept. overall WSCH has continued to decline. However, we believe, because the LOAD number increased from 426 to 498 (a 22% increase!), that the decline in WSCH is due to the reduction in the number of sections offered. This LOAD increase represents a substantial increase in efficiency in the Dept. Unfortunately, both the success and retention numbers have declined modestly. However, the number defined in the provided data as "successful" probably understates the number of students mastering the material because it systematically excludes those who withdraw, and it is the experience of the faculty that many withdrawals are the result of non-academic factors, such as changes in family or employment situations – quite frequent occurrences among a community college student population. If we assume that approximately one-third of the withdrawals are due to non-academic factors, the "success" rate may be actually higher than can be captured in gross quantitative indicators.

3. Are you on track for meeting the goals/targets that your program identified in its most recent Program Review? If not, please explain possible reasons why. If needed, update your goal/targets based on these reasons.

No goals/targets were established in the Comprehensive Program Review.

4. Have you identified any new goals or projects for the program to focus on during this next year? Please explain (grants, stipends, initiatives, etc.).

N/A

5. Are there any critical issues you expect to face in the coming year? How will you address those challenges?

We expect to continue to experience growth in LOAD and to increase WSCH as more students can be expected to attempt to enroll in History courses. Faculty may have to change examination methods to accommodate increased per-section enrollment. We also expect to continue to experience an increase in the number of under-prepared students entering our courses. One full-time faculty member has tied a writing/study skills tutorial (Reading 415) by a Reading professor to his courses, but this seems to benefit those who already have at least adequate study skills and are seeking to improve them, rather those who most need such remedial assistance. Depending on the availability of

Reading faculty, this approach may be adopted by other History faculty. However, even if adopted, past experience indicates that this will merely assist the better students rather than the most needy.

6. STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (SLOs) AND ASSESSMENT FOCUS FOR THIS YEAR:

- a. Academic areas: Identify at least one course SLO on which to focus. Describe the assessment strategies you will use and your method of reflection and documentation for this cycle.

Before the end of the current semester, the History faculty will identify one course SLO and determine an assessment methodology to be employed across the department in Fall 2010. Until now, each instructor has carried out assessment individually.

- b. Student services areas: TBD

7. SUMMARY OF RESOURCES NEEDED TO REACH PROGRAM ACTION STEPS

(Data resources: Educational Master Plan, 2008, Institutional Priorities, 2008-2011, College Index, 2009-2010, GE-SLOs, SLOs; department records; Core Program and Student Success Indicators; previous Program Review and Planning reports)

- a. In the matrices below, itemize the resources needed to reach program action steps and describe the expected outcomes for program improvement.* Specifically, describe the potential outcomes of receiving these resources and the programmatic impact if the requested resources cannot be granted.

*Note: Whenever possible, requests should stem from assessment of SLOs and the resulting program changes or plans. Ideally, SLOs are assessed, the assessments lead to planning, and the resources requested link directly to those plans.

Full-Time Faculty Positions Requested	Expected Outcomes if Granted and Expected Impact if Not Granted	If applicable, <u>briefly</u> indicate how the requested resources will link to achieving department action steps based on SLO assessment.
Input text here. None	Input text here.	Input text here.

Classified Positions Requested	Expected Outcomes if Granted and Expected Impact if Not Granted	If applicable, <u>briefly</u> indicate how the requested resources will link to achieving department action steps based on SLO assessment.
Input text here. None	Input text here.	Input text here.

- b. For instructional resources including equipment and materials, please list the exact items you want to acquire and the total costs, including tax, shipping, and handling. Include items used for instruction (such as computers, furniture for labs and centers) and all materials designed for use by students and instructors as a learning resource (such as lab equipment, books, CDs, technology-based materials, educational software, tests, non-printed materials). Add rows to the tables as necessary. If you have questions as to the specificity required, please consult with your division dean. Please list by priority.

Resources Requested	Expected Outcomes if Granted and Expected Impact if Not Granted	If applicable, <u>briefly</u> indicate how the requested resources will link to achieving department action steps based on SLO assessment.
<p>Item: Additional sets of US and Western Civ. large format classroom maps to replace those stolen during 2009/10 Winter break and move to Bldg. 17. Number: 2 sets Vendor: TBD Unit price: TBD Total Cost: TBD. Status*: Replacement</p>	<p>Input text here.</p> <p>Students will have to rely on inadequate maps in texts.</p>	<p>Input text here.</p> <p>Faculty will be able to make valuable points about trade routes, spread of populations, territorial acquisitions, etc.</p>

*Status = New, Upgrade, Replacement, Maintenance or Repair.

8. PROGRAM REVIEW PARTICIPANTS AND SIGNATURES

Date of this *Annual Update for Program Review and Planning* evaluation:

March 11, 2010.

Please list the department's *Annual Update for Program Review and Planning* report team [as appropriate](#):

Primary program contact person: James Robertson

Phone and email address: 574-6641, robertsonj@smccd.edu

Full-time faculty: Robertson; Mark Still; Michael Svanevik.

Part-time faculty: Susan Cox; Jack Kornblau

Administrators:

Classified staff:

Students:

Primary Program Contact Person's Signature	Date
Full-time Faculty's Signature	Date
Part-time Faculty's Signature (as appropriate)	Date
Administrator's Signature (as appropriate)	Date
Classified Staff Person's Signature (as appropriate)	Date
Student's Signature (as appropriate)	Date
Dean's Signature	Date

**Annual Program Review
RESOURCES FOR SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

This section contains a listing of sources for data and key documents referred to in this *Annual Update* along with other resources. Contact information for relevant people is also included.

Academic Senate

<http://www.collegeofsanmateo.edu/academicsenate/>

Contact: csmacademicsenate@smccd.edu

Diana Bennett, President, bennettd@smccd.edu, (650) 358-6769

College Catalogs and College Class Schedules are archived online:

<http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/schedule/archive.asp>

Course Outlines are found at:

<http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/articulation/outlines.asp>

Committee on Instruction

<http://www.smccd.net/accounts/csmcoi>

Contact: Laura Demsetz, Chair, demsetz@smccd.edu, (650) 574-6617.

Program Review Resources (includes forms, data, and completed program reviews for both instructional and student services program review)

Core Program and Student Success Indicators (see links for "Quantitative Data for Instructional Programs")

Distance Education Program Review Data

Glossary of Terms for Program Review

Listing of Programs Receiving Program Review Data from PRIE

Rotation Schedule for Instructional Program Review, 2008-2014

http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/program_review/program_review.php

Office of Planning, Research, and Institutional Effectiveness (PRIE)

<http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/>

Contact: John Sewart, Dean, sewart@smccd.edu, (650) 574-6196

Contact: Milla McConnell-Tuite, Coordinator, mcconnell@smccd.edu, (650)574-6699

At PRIE Website:

College Index, 2009-2010, http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php

Comprehensive Listing of Indicators and Measures, 2009-2010

http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php

Division/Department Workplans, Spring 2009 (only)

http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php

Educational Master Plan, 2008, <http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/emp.php>

Institutional Priorities, 2008-2011

http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php

Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) website:

<http://www.collegeofsanmateo.edu/sloac/>

Contact: Frederick Gaines, Interim SLO Coordinator, gainesf@smccd.edu, (650)574-6183