## College of San Mateo <br> College Index <br> 2008/09-2012/ 13

Aligned with "CSM's Institutional Prionities, 2008-2013"
Notes:

- An "interactive" version of the College Index is also posted with definitions linked to each indicator. Definitions provide the parameters from which the data are derived. http://collegeofsanmateo.edu/prie/institutional_documents.php
- Some data are being still being complied or are not yet available and will be added shortly.

| INSITIUIONALINDICATORS\& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09 <br> BASEINE* | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TARGEt | Actual | Target | Actual | Chg rom Baseune | Target | Actual | Chg rom Baseline | Target |
| Priority \# 1: StudentSuccess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retention Rates |  | 82.7\% | 82.9\% | 83.4\% | 82.9\% | 83.8\% | $+1.1 \mathrm{pts}$ | 82.9\% | 84.6\% | +1.9 pts | 84.0\% |
|  | Term Persistence Rates (Fall-to-Fall) | 42.1\% | 42.5\% | 43.8\% | 42.5\% | 40.5\% | -1.6 pts | 42.5\% | 43.6\% | +1.5 pts | 42.5\% |
|  | Successful Course Completion Rate | 68.5\% | 68.8\% | 68.1\% | 68.8\% | 69.0\% | +0.5 pts | 68.8\% | 69.7\% | +1.2 pts | 69.0\% |
|  | Basic Skills Suc cessful C ourse Completion Rates | 60.8\% | 61.0\% | 53.3\% | 61.0\% | 56.7\% | -4.1 pts | 61.0\% | 61.0\% | +0.2 pts | 61.0\% |
| 1.5 | Progression of Basic Skills Students | 62.4\% | 63.0\% | 58.9\% | 60.0\% | 52.4\% | -10.0 pts | 63.0\% | 57.6\% | -4.8 pts | 63.0\% |
|  | Student Progress \& Achievement Rate | 59.5\% | 60.1\% | 62.5\% | 60.1\% | 58.4\% | -1.1 pts | 60.1\% | 58.0\% | -1.5 pts | 60.0\% |
|  | Percentage of All Students Receiving at least 1 Matriculation Service | 41.4\% | 42.0\% | 44.5\% | 42.0\% | 49.9\% | +8.5 pts |  |  |  |  |
|  | Numbers of Academic Advising Sessions (duplic ated count) | -- | 13,831 | 13,831 | 14,000 | TBD | -- | 13,831 |  | -- |  |


| INSITIUIIONALINDICATORS \& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09 <br> Baseune* | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 <br> TARget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TARGEt | Actual | TARGEt | Actual | Chg from Baseune | Target | Actual | Chg rom Baseune |  |
| 1.9a | Numbers of Students Completing SEPs/Receiving Counseling-Advising Services |  | 2,661 | 3,500 | 3,881 | 3,500 | 3,943 | +48.2\% | 3,500 | 4,348 | +63.4\% | 3,500 |
| $1.9 b$ | Percentage of Students Completing SEPs | 23.6\% | 33.0\% | 33.5\% | 33.0\% | 37.4\% | +13.8 pts | 33.0\% | 42.0\% | +18.4 pts | 40.0\% |
| 1.10a | Numbers of Students Completing Orientation | 4,697 | 5,500 | 5,198 | 5,500 | 5,297 | +600 | 5,500 | 8,599 | +3,902 | 8,000 |
| 1.10b | Percentage of Students Completing Orientation | 41.7\% | 66.0\% | 44.8\% | 66.0\% | 50.2\% | +8.5 pts | 66.0\% | 83.1\% | +41.4pts | 75.0\% |
| 1.11 | Financial Aid Recipient Rate | 38.4\% | 39.0\% | 43.7\% | 39.0\% | 50.3\% | $+11.9 \mathrm{pts}$ | 39.0\% | 57.4\% | +19.0 pts | 50.0\% |
| $1.12$ | Total Amount of Fina ncial Aid Awards Granted | \$4,988,079 | \$5,000,000 | \$7,637662 | \$5,000,000 | \$9,017,512 | +80.8\% | \$5,000,000 | \$9,615,848 | +92.8\% | \$8,000,000 |
| $1.13$ | Numbers of Students Receiving Financial Aid | 4310 | 4350 | 5026 | 4350 | 5321 | +23.5\% | 4350 | 6045 | +40.3\% | 5,000 |
| $1.14$ | Numbers of Students Receiving Scholarships | 179 | 185 | 218 | 185 | 131 | -26.8\% | 185 | 257 | +43.6\% | 200 |
| $1.15$ | Total Amount of Scholarship Funds Awarded | \$229,047 | \$231,000 | \$257,000 | \$231,000 | \$200,255 | -12.6\% | \$231,000 | \$252,217 | +10.1\% | \$225,000 |
| $1.16$ | Student Persistence or Transfer [Perkins/C areer Technic al Education (CTE)] | 88.8\% | 90.0\% | 80.3\% | 90.0\% | 88.2\% | -0.6 pts | 90.0\% | 87.5\% | $-1.3 \mathrm{pts}$ | 90.0\% |
| Priority \#2: Academic Excellence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Transfer Rate | 19.3\% | 19.5\% | 19.2\% | 19.5\% | 16.9\% | -2.4 pts | 19.5\% | 19.3\% | 0 pts | 19.5\% |
| $2.2$ | Degrees/Certific ates Awa rded Rate | 27.1\% | 27.5\% | 29.7\% | 27.5\% | 23.0\% | -4.1 pts | 27.5\% | 18.2\% | -8.9 pts | 27.5\% |
| 2.3 | Numbers of Degrees Awa rded | 432 | 435 | 463 | 435 | 382 | -11.6\% | 435 | 390 | -9.7\% | 400 |
| $2.4$ | Numbers of Certific ates Awarded | 424 | 430 | 518 | 430 | 529 | 24.8\% | 430 | 550 | +29.7\% | 525 |


| Insitiumonal Indicators \& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09BASEuNE* | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TARGET | Actual | Target | Actual | Chg from Baseline | TARGEt | Actual | Chg rom BASEUNE | Target |
| $2.5$ | Credential, Degree, or Certific ate Rate for Occupational Programs [Perkins/C a reer Technic al Education (CTE)] |  | 86.6\% | 86.8\% | 73.9\% | 86.8\% | 87.5\% | +0.9 pts | 86.8\% | 85.4\% | $-1.2 \mathrm{pts}$ | 86.8\% |
| 2.6 | Numbers of Transfers to UC's | 132 | 150 | 136 | 150 | 144 | +9.1\% | 150 | 133 | +0.8\% | 150 |
| 2.7 | Percentage of Transfers Enrolling in UC's | 25.4\% | 25.9\% | 36.0\% | 25.9\% | 27.7\% | +2.3 pts | 25.9\% | 28.2\% | +2.8 pts |  |
| 2.8 | Numbers of Transfers to CSU's | 387 | 430 | 242 | 430 | 376 | -2.8\% | 430 | 339 | -12.4\% | 400 |
| 2.9 | Percentage of Transfers Enrolling in CSU's | 74.6\% | 74.1\% | 64.0\% | 74.1\% | 72.3\% | -2.3 pts | 74.1\% | 71.8\% | -2.8 pts |  |
| 2.10 | Program \& Service Quality: Percentage of Comprehensive Program ReviewsCompleted | 68\% | 100\% | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 2.11 | Program \& Service Quality: Percentage of Annual Program Reviews Completed | 67\% | 100\% | -- | 100\% | -- | -- | 100\% | -- | -- |  |
| 2.12 | SLO's: Percentage of Courses With Ongoing Assessment* | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 70\% | +18.0 pts | 52\% | 64\% | +12.0 pts | 100\% |
| 2.13 | SLO's: Percentage of Programs With Ongoing Assessment* | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 0 pts | 16\% | 16\% | 0 pts | 100\% |
| 2.14 | SLO's: Percentage of Institutional SLO's With Ongoing Assessment* | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 100\% | +14.0 pts | 86\% | 100\% | +14.0 pts | 100\% |
| $2.15$ | SLO's: Percentage of Student Leaming \& Support Activities With Ongoing Assessment* | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 86\% | 87\% | +1.0 pts | 86\% | 87\% | +1.0 pts | 100\% |
| Priority \# 3: Relevant, High-quality Programs and Senvices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3.1$ | Employa bility: Core Indic a tor for Tec hnic al Skills Atta inment (Perkins/C TE) | 90.7\% | 91.0\% | 90.7\% | 91.0\% | 89.1\% | -1.6 pts | 91.0\% | 86.5\% | -4.2 pts | 90.0\% |


| INSIITUIIONAL INDICATORS \& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09 BASEINE*$93.0 \%^{*}$ | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 <br> TARget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TARGEt | Actual | Target | Actual | Chg from Baseune | TARGEt | Actual | Chg rom Baseune |  |
| 3.2 | Student Satisfaction and Perception: Overall Ratings |  | 93.0\% | 93.0\% | 93.0\% | 93.6\% | +0.6 pts | 93.0\% | 93.9\% | +0.9 pts | 93.0\% |
| 3.3 | Student Satisfaction and Perception: Campus C limate |  | 89.8\%* | 89.8\% | 89.8\% | 89.8\% | 91.2\% | +1.4 pts | 89.8\% | 92.3\% | +2.5 pts | 90.0\% |
| 3.4 | Extemal C ommunity Sa tisfaction and Perception: Overall Ratings Chamber of Commerce | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TBD Fa II } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | -- |  |
| 3.5 | Extemal C ommunity Satisfaction and Perception: Overall Ratings Advisory Group Members | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TBD Fa II } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | -- |  |
| 3.6 | Marketing \& Public Relations: Numbers of Marketing and PR Events | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | +1 | 8 | 8 | +1 pts |  |
| 3.7 | Marketing, Outreach \& Public Relations: Numbers of High School Outreach Efforts | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | +3 | 14 | 13 | +3 pts |  |
| 3.8 | Program \& Service <br> Enhancements: Student Services [define measure] | -- | -- | --- | 23 | 23 | 0\% | 25 |  |  |  |
| 3.9 | Program \& Service Enhancements: Numbers of New or Modified Courses (undergoing Committee on Instruction action) | 142 | 145 | 117 | 145 | 164 | +15.5\% | 145 | 145 | +2.1\% | 145 |
| $3.10$ | Program \& Service <br> Enhancements: <br> Percentage of Distance <br> Education (Online) Courses <br> Offered | 4.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.2\% | +1.0 pts | 5.0\% | 7.9\% | +3.7 pts | 9.0\% |
| Priority \#4: Promote integrated Planning, Fiscal Stability, and Efficient Use of Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Fund 1 Ending Balance | \$1,823,854 | \$1,823,854 | \$1,989,235 | \$1,823,854 | \$959,459 | \$-864,395 | \$1,823,854 | -- | -- | 5\% of Fund 1 Total |
| * Denotesa 2009/10 Baseline. In some cases data for 2008/09 were not available or the indicator itself was modified. |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Insitulional Indicators \& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09 <br> Baselne* | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Target | Actual | TARGEt | Actual | Chg from Baseune | TARGEt | Actual | ChG from Baseune | TARGEt |
| 4.2 | Fund 1 Budget Stability: Ratio of Actual Expenditures to Total Budget |  | 95.1\% | 95.1\% | 94.2\% | 95.1\% | 96.9\% | +1.8 pts | 95.1\% | -- | -- | 95.0\% |
| 4.3 | Productivity/Effic ienc y: Total FIES <br> - All Courses | 3,975.45 | 3,980.00 | 4,135.15 | 3,980.00 | 3,733.58 | -6.1\% | 3,980.00 | 3,583.84 | -9.9\% | 3,750.00 |
| 4.4 | Productivity/ Effic iency: FIES - All Online Courses | 121.79 | 125.00 | 114.16 | 125.00 | 185.94 | +52.7\% | 125.00 | 218.87 | +79.7pts | 225.00 |
|  | Efficiency: Load | 522 | 570 | 578 | 570 | 581 | +11.3\% | 570 | 539 | +3.3\% | 550 |
|  | Effic iency: Fill Rates | 62.5\% | 64.0\% | 66.8\% | 64.0\% | 66.1\% | +3.6 pts | 64.0\% | 72.8\% | $+10.3 \mathrm{pts}$ | 70.0\% |
|  | Total Amount of Extemal Grants | \$1,404,993 | \$1,404,993 | TBD | \$1,404,993 | -- | -- | \$1,404,993 |  | -- |  |
| Priority \#5: Promote Institutional Dialog |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Employee Satisfaction and Perception: Overall Rating | 90.1\%* | 90.1\% | 90.1\% | 90.1\% | 89.0\% | $-1.1 \mathrm{pts}$ | 90.1\% | 88.8\% | $-1.3 \mathrm{pts}$ | 90.0\% |
|  | Employee Satisfaction and Perc eption: C a mpus C limate | 85.1\%* | 85.1\% | 85.1\% | 85.1\% | 83.8\% | -1.3 pts | 85.1\% | 84.6\% | $-0.5 \mathrm{pts}$ | 88.0\% |
| 5.3 | Staff Development Opportunities: Percentage of partic ipation by employees | 12\% | 15\% | TBD | 15\% | --- | -- | 15\% |  | -- |  |
|  | Staff Development Opportunities: Dolla rs Allocated | \$113,409 | \$113,409 | TBD | \$113,409 | -- | -- | \$113,409 |  | -- |  |
|  | Staff Development <br> Opportunities: Percentage of Participation in Shared Govemance | -- | -- | TBD | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 | Enrollment (unduplic ated count) | 11,215 | 11,300 | 11,508 | 11,300 | 10,588 | -5.6\% | 11,300 | 10,540 | -6.0\% | 10,000 |
| 6.2 | Enrollment: County Penetration Rate (CSM students per 1,000 San Mateo County a dult residents) | 16.0 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 15.3 | -0.7\% | 16.1 | 15.0 | -1.0\% | 16.0\% |

[^0]Version: 03/12/2013 Planning, Research, and Institutional Effectiveness

| INSIITUIONALINDICATORS \& Outcomes Measures |  | 2008/09 <br> BASEUNE* | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TARGET | Actual | TARGEt | Actual | Chg from Baseune | TARGEt | Actual | Chg rom Baseune | Target |
| 6.3 | School Relations: High School Take Rates |  | 10.7\% | 10.8\% | 12.1\% | 10.8\% | TBD | TBD | 10.8\% |  | TBD | ??? |
| 6.4 | Campus Sa fety: Crime Statistics (No. of Reported Occurrences) | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -33.3\% | 2 | 3 | 0.0\% | 2 |


[^0]:    * Denotesa 2009/10 Baseline. In some cases data for 2008/09

