

## Student Senate Agenda

Monday, September 16, 2013 2:15 pm College of San Mateo, College Heights Conference Room (Building 10, Room 468)

The public is invited and encouraged to attend all ASCSM Student Senate Meetings. All meetings are open to the public, and are accessible to those with disabilities. Start times are approximate. The public may address the Student Senate on non-Agenda items during the Announcements & Hearing of the Public items on the Agenda. Members of the public may participate in discussions only when recognized by the Chair.

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of the Agenda
- **IV.** Approval of the Minutes of Prior Meeting(s)
- V. Announcements & Hearing of the Public (15 minutes per topic, 3 minutes per speaker) At this time any member of the public may address the body on non-Agenda items.

#### VI. Reports a.

- Officers
  - 1. President
  - 2. Vice President
  - 3. Finance Director
  - 4. Vice Chair
  - 5. Secretary
  - 6. Advocacy Board Chair
  - 7. Cultural Awareness Board Chair
  - 8. Programming Board Chair
- b. Senators
- c. Advisors
  - 1. Aaron Schaefer, Student Life and Leadership Manager
  - 2. Fauzi Hamadeh, Student Life and Leadership Assistant

#### d. Committee Reports

- 1. ASCSM Task Forces
- 2. College, District, and State Committees, Boards, and Organizations

#### VII. Unfinished Action, Discussion, and Information Items

#### a. Appointments – President Sharpe

The Senate shall discuss any appointments to the Student Senate, Advocacy Board, Programming Board, Participatory Governance Committees and any other appointments that may be necessary; possible action to take place.

Hayley Sharpe

Hanna Haddad

Bill Callahan

**Bailey Girard** 

Nick Vasquez

Maggie Garcia

Chris Tran

Amanda Governale

#### b. Legislative Bills – Advocacy Board Chair Girard

The Senate shall discuss legislative bills presented by the Advocacy Board; possible action to take place.

#### VIII. New Business, Discussion, and Information Items

#### a. CSM High School Jazz Festival Funding Request – Professor Mike Galisatus

The Senate shall discuss and consider the funding request for the annual CSM High School Jazz Festival; possible action to take place.

#### b. Club Fair - Vice Chair Callahan

The Senate shall discuss and take action on plans and funding for the club fair; possible action to take place.

#### c. Board of Trustees Candidate Town Hall meeting – Advocacy Board Chair Girard

The Senate shall discuss and take action on plans and funding for the proposed Board of Trustees Candidate Town Hall meeting; possible action to take place.

d. ASCSM Leads! Promotional Day – Programming Board Chair Garcia and Advisor Schaefer The Senate shall discuss and consider plans and funding for a promotional day titled ASCSM Leads!; possible action to take place.

#### e. ASCSM Volunteer Clean-Up Day – Senator Lee & Advisor Schaefer

The Senate shall discuss and consider plans and funding for a volunteer service activity in the local community; possible action to take place.

#### f. College and District Delineation of Functions Map- President Sharpe

The Senate shall discuss and provide feedback on the Delineation of Functions Map between the District and the College; possible action to take place.

#### g. CSM Participatory Governance Document-President Sharpe

The Senate shall discuss and provide feedback on the proposed CSM Participatory Governance document; possible action to take place.

**h. ASCSM Budget Report - Finance Director Haddad and Advisor Hamadeh** *The Senate shall review and discuss an update on the ASCSM Budget; no action to take place.* 

#### i. ASCSM Core Values Statement - President Sharpe and Advisor Schaefer The Senate shall discuss and formulate a Core Values Statement for the Associated Students; possible action to take place.

#### IX. Future Agenda Items

At this time members of the Student Senate may suggest agenda items for consideration for future meetings.

#### **X. Final Announcements & Hearing of the Public (15 minutes per topic, 3 minutes per speaker)** *At this time any member of the public may voice any concluding comments.*

#### XI. Adjournment

## Appointments

There is no printed material related to this item.

## Legislative Bills

There is no printed material related to this item.

#### COLLEGE OF SAN MATEO JAZZ FESTIVAL PROPOSAL

The 17<sup>th</sup> Annual College of San Mateo Jazz Festival is a not for profit event hosted by the CSM music department. This event is designed as an educational tool for high school jazz programs in San Mateo County and the greater Bay Area. There will be eighteen high school jazz groups performing throughout the day beginning at 8:00 AM and ending at approximately 6:00 PM. Each group will receive a workshop and recorded critiques of their performances by noted jazz educators. In addition, there will be clinicians giving workshops in the areas of woodwind performance, brass performance, and rhythm section performance.

There will be a free noon concert featuring the College of San Mateo Monday Evening Jazz Ensemble with guest artist Dana Leong. Mr. Leong is a professional trombonist/cellist and recording artist residing in New York City. He is one of the newer jazz stars on the music scene, and has been recognized internationally for his unique performance and composition style.

There will be approximately 350 high school students participating in this event, and perhaps an additional 200 parents, educators, CSM students, and community members will be in the audience throughout the day. This event is extremely beneficial for our music program and the College of San Mateo, as it brings the 350 students to our campus to experience our program first hand. Our instrumental music program has tripled in size the past five years, primarily though the exposure provided by our jazz festival.

The festival also provides an opportunity for students in our Music 100, 202, 250, and 275 courses to fulfill their concert attendance requirements free of charge, and offers them the convenience of staying on campus to complete these projects. There are approximately 350 CSM students enrolled in these classes.

Each participating group pays an entry fee of \$275 to help offset the majority of the festival expenses. I would like to request funding in the amount of \$1,500 to cover the cost of the Dana Leong performance and workshop.

This year's CSM Jazz Festival marks the seventeenth year of this outstanding event. It is one of the more popular festivals from the standpoint of the participating directors who return each year to participate. Your consideration of this request is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Michael Galisatus Director of Bands College of San Mateo



For the meeting of	9/16/13				
Title of Proposal	Club Fair				
Being Proposed by	Vice Chair Callahan Coordinator VC Callahan				
If this proposal is for	r an event, is it being	submitted at least six (	(6) weeks in advance?	C Yes	Mo No
If 'No,' why not?	Timing of club	o fair event			
Forwarded to the St	udent Senate by:				
Executive Cabine	Advocacy	Programming	ICC Other	Accou	nt Club Account Res.
			SM students; an estimate event; and all other pertir	of costs and work involved; nent information.	the officers, employees,
The request in		or the two days		nd 26th, Wednesday to include The Clim	
				am outlined above. Be as spe es, equipment, rental fees, a	
Item Description		when possible. Include	e labor, materials, supplie	es, equipment, rentar rees, a	Cost
1. Pizza (Foo	d)				600.00
	echancial Devi	ice			400.00
3. Outdoor M	echanical Dev	ice			400.00
4.					
5.					
For ASCSM Secreta	rv Use Only			Total Costs	1,400.00
			Second by		
	Favor				Failed



For the meeting of	Monday, Septer	mber 16, 2	2013					
Title of Proposal	Board of Truste	es Candid	late To	wn Hall <i>I</i>	Meeting			
Being Proposed by	Advocacy Board	d		Lead Coordinator	Bailey	Girard		
If this proposal is for	an event, is it being submi	tted at least six	(6) weeks i	n advance?		🛛 Yes	🗖 No	
If 'No,' why not?	Timing							
Forwarded to the Stu	Ident Senate by:							
□ Executive Cabinet	🗆 Advocacy 🛛 🛛	Programming	□ ІСС	□ Other		Ac	<b>count</b> Student R	ep Fee
	planation of the proposal; t will do the work; the time a						ed; the officers,	employees,
relevant issues re	o have the Candidate for garding the district ar event will be on Tues	nd explain the	eir positio	ons on each.				
	estimated expenses that w r services over \$100 when							
Item Description							Cost	
1. Food for mee	et and greet							\$500.00
2							<u> </u>	
3.								
4								
5								
						Total Cost	s	\$500.00
For ASCSM Secretar	y Use Only							
Motion by				d by				
Result of Vote In	Favor Opp	osed	Abst	ained	Pas	sed	Failed	1



For the meeting of	Monday, Se	ptember 16, 2	2013			
Title of Proposal	ASCSM Lead	ls! Promotior	nal Day			
Being Proposed by	Programmir	ng Board		Lead Coordinator	Senator Garcia	
If this proposal is for	an event, is it being s	submitted at least six	(6) weeks in	advance?	C Yes	D No
If 'No,' why not?						
Forwarded to the Stu	Ident Senate by:					
□ Executive Cabinet	□ Advocacy	□ Programming		□ Other	Αϲϲο	unt 5150 Programs
	planation of the propo will do the work; the t				of costs and work involved nent information.	; the officers, employees,
Leads! promotion to provide free for during Welcome	nal day on Tuesda ood (pizza) and an	y, September 24 <sup>th</sup> interactive game M Leads! event wo	. This wou e (the gam	lld help bui e would co	rived, we would like to Id awareness of the A.S me at a 30 percent dis ick-off to the Club Fair	5. We will also be able
estimates for items o					m outlined above. Be as spe s, equipment, rental fees, a	dvertising costs, etc.
Item Description						Cost
1. Food						\$400.00
2. Interactive G	ame					500.00
3.						
4.						
5						
					Total Costs	\$900.00
For ASCSM Secretar	y Use Only		c			
Motion by Result of Vote In	Eavor	Opposed		l by ined		Failed
Result of Vote III	Favor	ομμοσεα	ADSLA	cu	Fasseu	



For the meeting of	Monday, Sep	otember 16, 2	2013			
Title of Proposal	ASCSM Volu	nteer Clean-l	Up Day			
Being Proposed by	Volunteer Ta	ask Force		Lead Coordinator	Senator Lee	
If this proposal is for	an event, is it being s	ubmitted at least six	(6) weeks i	n advance?	Tes Yes	D No
If 'No,' why not?						
Forwarded to the Stu	Ident Senate by:					
□ Executive Cabinet	□ Advocacy	□ Programming	□ ІСС	□ Other		Account 5150 Programs
	planation of the propo will do the work; the ti					olved; the officers, employees,
September 21st, 2 (1-2 CSM vans wi	2013 at El Granada	Beach in Half Mongon the number	oon Bay. <sup>-</sup>	This cleanup	•	anup on Saturday sportation for participants elp clean the beach, and
						as specific as possible. Attach ees, advertising costs, etc. <b>Cost</b>
•	reimbursement					\$200.00
2. Supplies						250.00
3. Lunch for pa	rticipants					300.00
4.	•					
5.						
For ASCSM Secretar	y Use Only				Total Co	osts \$750.00
			Secon	d by		
Result of Vote In	Favor	Opposed	Absta	ained	Passed	Failed

#### 2013 Delineation of Functions Review Results and Further Reviews

#### 1. Background

At the conclusion of the 2007 Accreditation site visits, one of the recommendations from ACCJC stated that "The district and colleges should collaborate to implement a process to regularly evaluate the delineation of functions and widely communicate those findings in order to enhance the college's effectiveness and institutional success. (Standard IV.B.3.g)".

To respond to the ACCJC recommendation, the three Colleges incorporated a Function Map - developed and adopted by the District Accreditation Coordinating Council (DAC) based on the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (ACCJC) policy directives (Policy and Procedures for the Evaluation of Institutions In Multi-College/Multi-Unit Districts of Systems, dated 2004 and 2012). One of its purposes is to illustrate how the three Colleges and the District manage the distribution of responsibilities by function. The Function Map was reviewed by the District Shared Governance Council (now called District Participatory Governance Council) in April 2007 and was later adopted by the Chancellor's Council. Further, in 2008, the District Colleges developed the necessary process and timelines to conduct evaluation of the delineations of functions and to communicate the findings widely to the District Colleges (see document: Delineation of Functions Process for Evaluation, dated 2008). The process calls for the Colleges and the District to review the Delineation of Functions every three years with the first round of review commencing during spring 2010. The review is guided by the Delineation of Functions Review Committee (DFRC). See membership of this committee toward the end of this document.

Since then, the Function Map has been reviewed two times, most recently in 2013. The 2013 review is based on the Function Map that contained approved changes to the map from the spring 2010 district-wide review.

#### 2. Findings from the 2013 Review by Sites

All four (4) sites (Cañada College, College of San Mateo, Skyline College and District Office) reviewed the Function Map based on the approved process and

timelines. Feedback from various constituents was pooled by the respective DFRC member representing the site or the executive staff in District Office.

**Canada College**'s Planning Council, Instructional Planning Council & Student Services Planning Council, and Academic Senate all reviewed the functional map. DFRC received feedback from these constituent groups at Cañada College:

The Student Services Planning Council (SSPC) commented in general that the District and College's roles have been functioning well. SSPC suggested the following sub-standard in Standard III to be changed to SHARED: III 4.4.a., III 4.b. and III 4.c.; IIIC.1.c; and III D.2.2a through 2.f.

The College's Academic Senate reviewed the functions and inquired if the following substandards in Standard III may be changed to SHARED: III.C.1.c, III.D.1.c, III.D.2.a, III.D.2.c, and III.D.2.d

**College of San Mateo** constituency representatives approached their respective groups to review and provide feedback. The faculty, administration, classified staff, and the Associated Students had no recommended suggestions. The map was also reviewed at College Council meeting.

**Skyline**'s various constituent groups reviewed the Function Map separately before bringing it back to the College Council. The groups were the academic senate, classified council, student senate, and management council. The College Council acknowledged the review and left the document as is at its April 2013 meeting.

**District Office** - The map has been reviewed by the District Office personnel. There were only a few questions for clarification and no recommendations for change.

#### 3. Missing Sections and New Sections

Three sections in the original Function Map developed in 2008 were missing in the document circulated for review in 2013 due to a clerical error. They were Standard I.B, 5, 6, and 7. See Table 1 below. In Section 4, Colleges are requested to review these two sections.

The accreditation standards published by ACCJC in 2006 underwent changes with a new version of the standards published in 2012. Since then several new sub-standards

have been added in Standard 3.D.3. See Table 2 below for details. ACCJC required that Colleges adopt the new changes as they review their function maps.

#### 4. Chancellor's Council on Recommended Changes and Need for Further Reviews

In June 2013 the Chancellor's Council considered the comments, suggestions and rationale regarding the Function map as suggested by the Colleges' participatory government process. The Council reviewed the definitions and purpose of the Function Map as well as the current organizational structure and came to the consensus that no changes were needed. Additionally, the Executive Vice Chancellor of Fiscal Services made recommendations as to the designation of responsibilities for the new sections. In the spirit of the continuous nature of the review process, Colleges will review the functions for the new and missing sections in the August/September timeframe.

Table 1 contains the missing sections from the spring 2013 review.

Standard I.B.			District
5.	The institution uses documented assessment results to communicate	Р	S
	matters of quality assurance to appropriate constituencies.		
6.	The institution assures the effectiveness of its ongoing planning and	SH	SH
	resource allocation processes by systematically reviewing and modifying,		
	as appropriate, all parts of the cycle, including institutional and other		
	research efforts.		
7.	The institution assesses its evaluation mechanisms through a systematic	Р	S
	review of their effectiveness in improving instructional programs, student		
	support services, and library and other learning support services.		

#### Legend: P = Primary; S = Secondary; SH = Shared

Table 2 contains the recommended delineations to the new sub-standards.

Standard III.D.	College	District
3. The institution has policies and procedures to ensure sound financial practices and financial stability.	S	Р
a. The institution has sufficient cash flow and reserves to maintain	S	Р

stability, strategies for appropriate risk management, and develops contingency plans to meet financial emergencies and unforeseen occurrences.		
b. The institution practices effective oversight of finances, including management of financial aid, grants, externally funded programs, contractual relationships, auxiliary organizations or foundations, and institutional investments and assets.	S	Р
c. The institution plans for and allocates appropriate resources for the payment of liabilities and future obligations, including Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB), compensated absences, and other employee related obligations.	S	Р
<ul> <li>d. The actual plan to determine Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) is prepared, as required by appropriate accounting standards.</li> </ul>	S	Р
<ul> <li>e. On an annual basis, the institution assesses and allocates resources for the repayment of any locally incurred debt instruments that can affect the financial condition of the institution.</li> </ul>	S	Р
<ul> <li>f. Institutions monitor and manage student loan default rates, revenue streams, and assets to ensure compliance with federal requirements.</li> </ul>	S	Р

#### 8. Continuous Improvement Process

The main purpose of reviewing the existing delineation of functions is for the Colleges and the District Office to assess the effectiveness of the functions distributed throughout the District. In particular, the third element of the ACCJC policy (see page 1) states "3. Institutions have the responsibility to describe and display clearly the particular way functions are distributed in their unique multi-college organization. These must be ongoing communication between the college and the district/system regarding the distribution of these functions. The Commission will use this description to identify the locus of responsibility for the institution's ability to meet accreditation standards (p.72)"

#### San Mateo CCD Delineation of Functions (2013)

Based on the procedures laid out by ACCJC in the above policy, the District Colleges have developed the Function Map and used it as part of the institution's selfevaluation. The map itself has been formally reviewed twice during which discussions among the constituent groups and between the District Office and Colleges have occurred. The document is also part of the self-evaluation process. The document is developed along the line of all the standards and sub-standards and reflects how the District and Colleges have organized their respective roles and responsibilities. The Function Map is part of the ongoing day-to-day operations and will remain so going forward.

DFRC will continue guiding the Function Map evaluation activities among the District Office and the three Colleges. The Delineation of Functions reflects the spirit of continuous improvement. When a function has clearly undergone changes between regularly scheduled reviews, the Delineation of Function is updated and broadly communicated to the Colleges and the District Office. San Mateo CCD Delineation of Functions (2013)

#### Documents:

Approved Delineation of Functions Process

Approved Accreditation Function Map (Revised 2010)

Notes from Function Review Committee meeting (April 12, 2010)

Notes from Function Review Committee meeting (June 8, 2010)

Notes from Function Review Committee meeting (May 6, 2013)

Notes from Function Review Committee meeting (June 3, 2013)

#### \*DELINEATION OF FUNCTION REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

Ray Hernandez, Dean, Science/Math/Technology, Skyline College Jennifer Hughes, Vice President, Student Services/ALO, College of San Mateo (co-chair) Jing Luan, Vice Chancellor, Ed Services & Planning/District ALO (co-chair) Jan Roecks, Dean, Business, Workforce and Athletics, Cañada College

# College of San Mateo Implementing Shared Governance Participatory Governance

Adopted by the Four Governance Constituencies: Academic Senate, <del>Classified</del> <del>Senate</del>, <u>CSEA</u>, Associated Students, Management Council

December, 1993

**Revised: XXXX** 

#### College of San Mateo

#### Shared Participatory Governance

#### Table of Contents

Section 1: Definition of Shared Participatory Governance

Section 2: Philosophy of Shared Participatory Governance

Section 3: Structure of Shared Participatory Governance

Section 4: Charter of the CSM College Council

Section 5: Training Program

Section 6: Bibliography

Appendix A: History and Background

Appendix B: Implementation Committee Members

Appendix C: Writing Sub-Committee Members

Appendix D: Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

Appendix D1: communication

Appendix D2: Agenda

Appendix D3: Expectations

Appendix D4: Annual Report

Appendix D5: Evaluation

Appendix Dg: A Proposed Code of Ethics for Participants in Shared Participatory Governance

#### Definition of Shared Participatory Governance at College of San Mateo

Shared Participatory governance is a set of structures and processes that:

 $\geq$ 

- Involve the genuine participation of faculty, classified staff, students, and administrators; and
- Effectively capture their collective wisdom and voice to reach the best recommendation(s) for the decision-maker(s) and for the good of the campus community.

#### Philosophy of Shared Participatory Governance at College of San Mateo

The guiding principle of shared <u>participatory</u> governance at College of San Mateo is a commitment by the four governance constituencies (Academic Senate, <del>Classified</del>-<del>Senate</del> CSEA, Associated Students, Management Council) to ensure that their participation in decision making leads to the most effective, efficient achievement of the college's goals and objectives. Pervading all decision-making must be the recognition that the college exists to educate its students.

Although the reform legislation of AB 1725 legally created a new structure of community college governance, students, classified staff, faculty, and administrators at CSM have developed a shared participatory governance process that distinctly reflects the philosophy and character of CSM. Trust and a common vision for the college are essential elements for decision making in the context of shared participatory governance. To achieve a climate that produces these two elements, the constituencies recognize that mutual respect, cooperation, inclusive participation that draws on the strength of diversity, equality, and an atmosphere that fosters a sense of campus community must be present.

The constituencies also acknowledge that traditional and legally mandated roles must be maintained. For example, within the context set by the statutes and regulations that govern the college, the college president is responsible for the budget and the fiscal integrity of the institution, and the Academic Senate assumes primary responsibility for making recommendations in the areas of curriculum and academic matters. However, to make effective decisions in their areas of responsibility, each constituency must include genuine participation in the decisionmaking process from other affected constituencies. Capturing the collective wisdom and voice of the governance constituencies should lead to the best recommendation(s) for the decision-maker(s) and for the good of the campus community.

Although the existing committee structure at CSM will remain in place, some modifications will be necessary to ensure that all affected constituencies are consulted. Each of the four governance constituencies is responsible for its own committees and the incorporation of shared participatory governance principles in its committee membership and processes, wherever appropriate.

2

#### The Structure of Shared Governance at College of San Mateo

The college community has defined shared governance In the 1993 Implementing Shared Governance document, the college community had defined shared [participatory] governance as "a set of structures and processes that involve the genuine participation of faculty, classified staff, students and administrators..." Embedded in that definition is the notion that shared [participatory] governance will exist at all levels of the college – departments and divisions, as well as college-wide planning and budgeting groups.

A principal but by no means exclusive manifestation of shared governance will be the establishment of a college-wide shared governance committee. The College of San Mateo College Council. One of the expressed purposes of the Council will be to foster the achievement of the goals and purposes of shared governance at CSM and the continued implementation of shared governance throughout the college. IT will fulfill that purpose, in part, by developing a training program that will give faculty, staff, administrators, and students throughout the college the skills with which to practice shared governance.

As a result, the first step is the establishment of a single shared governance body the college level. The expectation, however, is that once armed with the proper tools CSM's faculty, staff, students, and administrators will be able to begin the work of rebuilding the institution's governance structures from the ground up. At that point shared governance will become a genuine reality, extending beyond the College Council and becoming the institution's primary means for reaching decisions and solving problems.

College Council was established as the college-wide participatory governance committee. Initially, its expressed purpose was to foster the achievement of the goals and purposes of participatory governance at CSM and the continued implementation of participatory governance throughout the college. It was to fulfill this purpose, in part, by developing a training program that would provide faculty, staff, administrators, and students throughout the college the skills with which to practice participatory governance.

Since the implementation of shared [participatory] governance in 1993, participatory governance is now well-established at the college and fully embedded in the structure of the institution. There are a number of well-established participatory governance committees that operate effectively at the college. In addition, the Board of Trustees has developed policies that govern the participatory governance process for the colleges. In 200XXX, a new integrated planning model was developed which resulted in the establishment of the Institutional Planning Committee (IPC). The fact that the majority of the key college recommendations are now generated from the IPC and because participatory governance is now embedded throughout the college, the function and purpose of College Council was brought into question.

In April, 2012, a College Council focus group was conducted. The purpose of the focus group exercise was to provide a qualitative evaluation of College Council; to identify areas of overlap or redundancy with the Institutional Planning Committee (IPC); and to suggest areas for improvement. As the moderator noted in her introduction to the session, the focus group was broadly intended to capture members' perceptions, brainstorm, diagnose problems, and stimulate new ideas.

As a result of the focus group work, the membership determined that College Council should still be retained as a participatory governance committee, but with a revised mission and purpose. The revised mission and purposes were developed and approved in spring, 2013.

#### CHARTER AND BYLAWS OF THE COLLEGE OF SAN MATEO COLLEGE COUNCIL

#### I. Title

The official title of the college's shared participatory governance council shall be The College of San Mateo College Council.

#### II. PURPOSES Mission and Purposes

The Mission of the College Council is to:

- provide guidance and oversight of the participatory governance process at College of San Mateo
- serve as a repository and clearinghouse for ideas generated by the college community.

The purposes of the College Council are to:

- foster the achievement of the goals and purposes of shared participatory governance at CSM;
- ensure effective facilitate the continued implementation of shared <u>participatory</u> governance throughout the college in a way that recognizes and respects the functions and responsibilities of the four governance constituencies: the Academic Senate, Associated Students, <u>Classified Senate</u> <u>CSEA</u>, and Management Council;
- Provide a review and appraisal function for all institutional committees.
- <u>Assist in coordinating annual training for members of institutional committees</u>
  - serve as the principal forum in which the college addresses issues related to planning and program review; and
  - participate in the development of the institutional budget and ingiving advice to the administration on college wide budgetdecisions.

#### **III. MEMBERSHIP**

- 1. <u>Number and Selection of Members</u>: The College Council shall consist of no fewer than twelve nor more than sixteen members, as follows:
  - a. The President of the college and the presidents of the Academic Senate, Associated Students, and Classified Senate CSEA, each of whom shall hold membership by virtue of his or her office.
  - b. Eight to twelve additional members (hereinafter called "designated members") chosen by the Academic Senate, Associated Students, Classified-

Senate <u>CSEA</u>, and Management Council, each of which shall choose two or three designated members as it sees fit.

 Methods and Criteria for Selection of Designated Members: The Academic Senate, Associated Students, Classified Senate CSEA, and Management Council shall each devise its own methods and criteria for selecting designated members, but each shall do so in a way that is designed to yield a College Council, the membership of which is committed to the Council's purposes, broadly representative of the College community, and ethnically diverse.

- 3. <u>Training of Members</u>: Each member shall receive training as specified in article VI, section 2.
- 4. <u>Term of Membership of Designated Members</u>: Each designated member's term shall commence at the first meeting of the College Council during the Fall term and last for two years. Notwithstanding the previous sentence, the terms of the first designated members to serve following the adoption of this Charter and Bylaws shall be fixed by the presidents of the four designating organizations (in consultation with their respective governing boards) in a manner that will provide for the expiration each year of the terms of approximately one-half of the designated members.

#### 5. Removal of Members:

- a. If an individual who holds membership by virtue of his or her office ceases to hold that office, he or she shall cease to be a member.
- b. Any designated members may be removed, with or without cause, by the organization that designated the member.

#### 6. Replacement of Members:

- a. If the President of the college vacates his or her position at the college, the person selected by the Board of Trustees as acting or interim President shall become a member of the College Council. Similarly, if the President of the Academic Senate, Associated Students, or Classified Senate CSEA vacates his or her position, the person selected as acting or interim President of that organization shall become a member of the College Council.
- b. Whenever a designated member terminates his or her membership, whether by resignation, removal, or otherwise, the vacancy created shall be filled by the original designating organization.
- c. Any person selected to fill a vacancy on the College Council shall hold membership for the unexpired term of his or her predecessor on the Council, subject to the power of removal contained in the Section 5 of this article.
- 7. <u>Recognition of Members' Service</u>: Members who have served on the College Council for at least one term shall receive appropriate recognition from the college, the organization they represent, or both. Members shall not receive extra compensation or assigned time for service on the Council.

7

#### **IV. MEETINGS**

- Facilitation of Meetings: The College Council shall not have a chairperson or similar presiding officer. Instead, the Council shall appoint an individual whopossesses the requisite skill, experience, and training, and who is not a member of the Council, as a standing facilitator seek from its membership individuals to facilitate all meetings of the Council. Members will rotate serving as the meeting facilitator. The facilitator shall serve at the pleasure of the College Council.
- <u>Conduct of Meetings</u>: Except as prescribed by the Council's facilitator, fFormal rules of procedure shall not govern the conduct of College Council meetings. Instead, the Council shall follow a flexible set of procedural rules adopted by the Council itself or, if appropriate, by its facilitator. Those rules shall, among other things:
  - a. Incorporate consensus building as the Council's principal method for decision-making
  - b. Specify the use of polling in strong preference to voting
  - c. Make explicit provision for the expression and memorialization of dissenting opinions
  - d. Provide for full participation by non-member technical experts or advisors whenever appropriate
- 3. <u>Place of Meetings</u>: The College Council shall hold all of its meetings on the campus of College of San Mateo or at such other place or places <del>as the facilitator of</del> the Council may from time to time designate.
- 4. Open Meetings: All meetings of the College Council shall be open and public.
- 5. <u>Regular and Special Meetings</u>: The College Council shall hold a regular meeting once each month, during the academic year, at such times as the Council may fix. In addition<del>, the facilitator upon request of three members, or</del> the President of the college may call a special meeting of the Council at any time.
- 6. <u>Agenda and Minutes</u>: The College Council shall provide for the distribution of an agenda before each meeting of the Council, and a meeting summary or minutes after each meeting of the Council.
- 7. <u>Quorum</u>: Fifty-percent of the members plus one shall constitute a quorum at any meeting of the College Council, provided, however, that at least one member from each of the four governance constituencies is present.

#### **V. COMMITTEES**

The College Council may appoint one or more Council Committees, consisting of one or more members and any number of non-members, with such duties and responsibilities as the Council shall deem appropriate.

#### ₩. V.TRAINING

- <u>General Training</u>: The College Council shall develop, and advise the college administration on the implementation of, a shared <u>participatory</u> governance training program for faculty, staff, administrators and students. By participating in the program, individuals should, at a minimum, acquire or enhance skills in:
  - a. Problem solving and decision making '(particularly consensus decision making).
  - b. Conflict resolution.
  - c. Effective meeting management, facilitation, and participation.
  - d. Data gathering and analysis.

#### e. Basic budgeting and accounting.

2. <u>College Council Training</u>: As a condition of Council membership, and in addition to the general training, every member shall, in a manner and to the extent determined by the College Council, complete the special Council training for members.

#### **VII.** VI.AMENDMENTS

This Charter and Bylaws may be repealed by the President of the college or by the governing board of the Academic Senate, Associated Students, or Classified Senate <u>CSEA</u>. The Charter and Bylaws may be amended or added to, or a new Charter and Bylaws may be adopted, by the unanimous consent of the President of the college and the governing boards of the Academic Senate, Associated Students, and Classified-Senate.<u>CSEA</u>

#### TRAINING PROGRAM

#### General Training

<u>Description</u>: This experiential training will provide an introduction to effective meeting management and meeting facilitation. This training is designed so that all members of the college community will be nefit from participation whether or not they are a part of the CSM College Council. The two-day training will be offered several times so many faculty, classified staff, administrators, and students can take advantage of the training opportunity. The training will be offered first to members of the CSM College Council and Management Council, and then to the rest of the campus community.

#### Duration: 2 days

<u>Who attends:</u> (in order) Members of the CSM College Council and Management Council members; the rest of the campus community

Size of group: When possible, groups will be limited to 30 participants each session

Components:

- Overview of shared participatory governance at CSM
- •Elements of effective meeting management
- Role/function/responsibilities/skills for meeting facilitators
- Role of group recorder
- Group process tools
- •Effective communications skills
- •Consensus: definition and how to achieve it
- Conflict resolution
- •How to be an effective group participant
- Giving/receiving non-judgmental positive and negative feedback
- Elements of process observation
- Discussion vs. dialogue

#### College Council training

Description: This training will take place annually at the first several meetings of the CSM College Council each academic year, and is based on the assumption that all members of the Council have completed the generic training.

#### Components:

- •Team building activities
- •Orientation to the Council's purpose, charge, philosophy, history
- •Roles and responsibilities of the Council and Council members
- •Council ground rules
- •Understanding the budgeting/planning process at CSM
- Developing a shared vision of the CSM College Council

#### Advanced facilitator training

<u>Description</u>: This advanced level of training is designed for those who have completed the general training and who have an interest in or aptitude for developing skills to be a meeting facilitator. This experiential training will provide further opportunities to practice advanced techniques in meeting facilitation.

Who attends: those who have already completed the generic training

Group-size: 10-12 participants

Duration: 1 or 2 days

Components:

•More practice facilitating/recording

More skills/tools to add to the repertoire

**•**Discussion of participants' experience/problems/successes in facilitation

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

How to Make Meetings Work, Michael Doyle and David Straus

The Fifth' Discipline, by Peter Senge

The Memory Jogger Plus+, by Michael Brassard

The Team Handbook, by Peter R. Scholtes and other contributors

On O: Causing Quality in Higher Education, by Daniel T. Seymour

"Creative Problem Solving in Quality Circles," by Geoffrey Ball

"Facilitation Skills in Quality Circles," by Kenneth E. Blaker

"Managing Quality Circles Effectively," by Frank J. Omowale Satterwhite

"Making Decisions in Quality Circles," by Mildred Browne

"Gathering, Analyzing and Presenting Data in Quality Circles," by Randall A. Cognetta and Jean Goodwine

#### APPENDIX A

#### History of the Development of Shared Governance at College of San Mateo, 1991-1993

The development of the shared governance model for College of San Mateo resulted from a process that involved broad participation by the college community. The Classified Senate, the Associated Students, the Academic Senate, and Management Council were the four official governance constituencies that administered the process. However, the work of the Vision 2000 Shared Governance Committee, focus groups, Academic Senate Governing Council meetings, Classified Senate Executive Board deliberations, Management Council discussions, Associated Students meetings, and brown bag lunches ensured open, inclusive participation from students, faculty, classified staff, and administrators.

In 1988 Assembly Bill 1725 enacted a new structure of community college governance. Out of this reform legislation grew the concept of shared governance, which was initially considered at CSM by the Vision 2000 Shared Governance Committee. Members of this committee were Robert Clarke (student), Ardash Ozsogomonyan (administrator), Bill Rundberg (faculty), and Christina Witkowski (classified staff). The committee met during Spring 1992 and submitted its final report to Interim President Richard Jones on June 17, 1992. (See accompanying document.)

In September 1992, responding to the Vision 2000 Shared Governance report, President Peter Landsberger began to organize collegewide input into the development of shared governance at the college. A shared governance steering group, organized by the college President and consisting of the President's Cabinet and the presidents of the Academic Senate, the Associated Students, and the Classified Senate, recommended a list of potential members drawn from all segments of the college. Working from this list of suggested members, each governance constituency then modified and approved a list of members from its constituency to serve on the focus groups. The college President and the president of the Academic Senate facilitated the focus groups, which were held during November and December.

In December 1992 concerned faculty observed that the existing shared governance steering group, now augmented by two members who had served on the Vision 2000 task group (Ardash Ozsogomonyan and Bill Rundberg), did not adequately reflect the cultural diversity of the college. Also, the president of the Classified Senate noted that a larger committee would enable all governance constituencies to have greater representation and, therefore, wider input into the process. A more representative committee would demonstrate a genuine commitment to shared governance. As a result, the original shared governance steering group dissolved itself and proposed the formation of a new Shared Governance Implementation Committee, whose membership would more fully represent the four constituencies and the cultural diversity of the campus community. After careful deliberations by the four constituencies, a new Shared Governance Implementation Committee was formed and began its work in April 1993, with the guidance of Cecil Reeves, an outside facilitator. (See Appendix A for a list of committee membership.)

Drawing on the input from the focus groups and discussions held at meetings of the governing bodies of the four constituencies, the Shared Governance Implementation Committee formulated the basic philosophy and structure of shared governance at CSM. During Summer 1993 a sub-committee of eight members of the larger twenty-member Committee met to write a shared governance model based on the larger Committee's work. (See Appendix C for a list of writing subcommittee membership.) In September 1993 the writing subcommittee presented a draft of <u>Implementing Shared Governance</u> to the Shared Governance Implementation Committee. The Committee approved the document and agreed to advocate for its acceptance by the four governance constituencies.

Copies of <u>Implementing Shared Governance</u> were widely distributed throughout the campus. Through its governing board or other appropriate group, each constituency discussed the document and offered suggestions for modifications, which were then taken back to the Shared Governance Implementation Committee in October.

Based on input from the four constituencies, the Shared Governance Implementation Committee made one substantive change in the wording of the membership section of the Charter. This change in wording added a phrase noting that the College Council's membership should be broadly representative of the college community. Other changes involved editing and did not change the substantive content of the document. The Shared Governance Implementation Committee then sent the draft document back to each constituency for a vote of ratification.

The Shared Governance Implementation Committee agreed that, upon ratification by all four constituencies, its work as a committee would be complete. The presidents of the four constituencies would then become responsible for completing the process of implementing the shared governance document.

# COLLEGE OF SAN MATEO MATH/SCIENCE DIVISION

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Richard	Jones
	Interim	President

DATE: June 17, 1992

FROM: Ardash Ozsogomonyan A. Gradoningan Chair, Shared Governance Committee

SUBJECT: Recommendations of the Shared Governance Committee (SGC)

The SGC consisted of Robert Clarke (student), Ardash Ozsogomonyan (administrator), Bill Rundberg (faculty), and Christina Witkowski (classified staff). Thirteen meetings were held on the following dates: 1/13, 1/21, 2/12, 2/19, 2/26, 3/18, 3/25, 4/8, 4/22, 4/29, 5/6, 5/20, and 6/11. The committee's recommendations for a shared governance structure are given below. At the start of fall 1992 semester, the SGC plans on sending the text below to all CSM faculty, classified staff, administrators, and student government for written comments with a deadline of 9/4/92. The committee will review the input and make the final recommendations to you regarding a shared governance structure.

The SGC recommends that the CSM Council (see below) be formed no later than 10/1/92.

Please feel free to call on me or on the whole committee if you wish to discuss these recommendations.

## PROPOSED SHARED GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE AT CSM

- 1. The President will form the CSM Council (CSMC) with representation from faculty, classified staff, administration, and students.
  - Note: Three members of our committee recommend that three individuals from each of these four groups be selected/elected by the respective groups for the CSMC, the method of selection/election to be decided by each group for its own representatives. The fourth member of our committee is not sure equal representation is appropriate but is in agreement with the selection/election aspect of the representation, that is, each group will decide on how to do it.
- 2. The maximum term of the CSMC members will be three years, rotated so that there is continuity.
- 3. The Chair of the CSMC will be elected by the council and will serve for one year.

- 4. The primary responsibility of the CSMC will be to advise the College President on the following matters: 1) budgeting, 2) planning, 3) programs/services review, 4) information flow within CSM and within the SMCCCD, and 5) governance issues.
- 5. The CSMC will make its decisions by consensus. However, in case consensus is not possible the decision approved by the majority (simple majority) will prevail.
- 6. The College President will be an ex-officio member of the CSMC and will participate in all its meetings.
- 7. The College President will provide secretarial support to the CSMC in the manner that the Vice President of Instruction provides secretarial support to the Committee on Instruction.
- 8. The immediate supervisors of the classified staff members on the CSMC will be given adequate funds and will be authorized to hire substitutes for the classified staff member at the rate of two hours of substitution for each hour of service on the CSMC.
- 9. The CSMC will receive agendas and minutes of existing college committees, which will remain unchanged.
- 10. The CSMC will form new committees, some as permanent committees and others as ad hoc. The SGC recommends that the CSMC form the following permanent committees reporting directly to the CSMC:
  - budget committee
  - planning committee
  - programs/services review committee
  - governance issues
  - information flow
- 11. All correspondence to the CSMC will be distributed to all the members.
- 12. Items can be placed on CSMC agenda as follows:
  - a) by the President of CSM;
  - b) by the members of CSMC through the chair;
  - c) by groups of six or more individuals (i.e. employees and students) through the chair in writing. <u>Note</u>: one member of the SGC recommends that

anyone be allowed to propose an agenda item to CSMC through the chair.

13. The SGC recommends the dissolution of the two President's Advisory Committees as soon as the CSMC is formed.

#### **APPENDIX B**

1993 Shared Governance Implementation Committee Membership List

#### **Faculty**

Rich Castillo Bill Dickey Susan Estes Modesta Garcia Jean Multhaup

#### Administration

#### Al Acena Gregg Atkins Peter Landsberger Steve Morehouse Nancy Morrissette

#### **Classified**

Merlene Cooper Torn Lamb John Martinez Steve Robison Christina Witkowski

#### <u>Students</u>

Ray Emanuel Monica Emrick Ross Heiney Janeen Malatesta Carlos Reyes

#### **APPENDIX C**

1993 Shared Governance Implementation Writing Sub-Committee Membership List

Faculty

**Bill Dickey** 

Susan Estes

#### **Classified**

Merlene Cooper Steve Robison

Students

**Administration** 

Al Acena Peter Landsberger

Monica Emrick Ross Heiney

2013 Writing Sub-Committee Membership List

Faculty James Carranza

<u>Classified</u> Juanita Celaya

Student Hayley Sharpe

Administration Jennifer Hughes

#### Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

#### College Council Communication

One of the requisites in helping to make shared governance work at CSM will be effective communication. Below are suggested means for keeping the campus community informed of issues and developments in which shared governance is involved:

- 1. Agendas of meetings of the College Council should be posted prominently in workrooms, mailrooms, and administrative offices.
- 2. A summary of the meetings of the College Council should be posted prominently in workrooms, mailrooms, and administrative offices.
- 3. The presidents of the constituent bodies represented on the College Council will be responsible for keeping their respective groups informed of shared governance activities by reporting back to those bodies.
- 4. Open forums and open meetings can be held with the campus community.
- 5. An annual report will be presented by the College Council to the college community.

#### Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

#### College Council Agenda

A matter may be placed on the College Council's agenda in the following ways:

- 1. Any member of the College Council may place an item on the Council's agenda.
- 2. While the Council is to operate with an open agenda, it can select specific agenda items for discussion at each meeting.
- 3. A form might be developed for placing an item on the Council's agenda. This form would be transmitted to the facilitator in a timely manner for inclusion on the published agenda for a meeting.
- 4. A Council member may request at the outset of a meeting the inclusion of a topic for discussion at that meeting.

#### Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

#### **Expectations of College Council Members**

Among the expectations for members of the College of San Mateo College Council are the following:

- 1. Members of the College Council should display a willingness to reexamine their assumptions.
- 2. Members should possess a campus-wide community outlook.
- 3. Members should show their commitment to shared participatory governance by active and regular participation in College Council meeting and activities.

4. Members will participate in the shared participatory governance training program.

 Members will keep lines of communication open by informing those outside the College Council of issues and developments involving shared participatory governance.

#### Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

#### College Council Annual Report

The annual report on the activities of the College Council will be an important document:

- 1. It will be prepared for distribution to the college community at the end of each academic year.
- 2. It will serve as a major communication tool in making the campus community aware of the accomplishments of shared participatory governance.
- 3. It will also be part of the historical record of the college's involvement in shared participatory governance.

#### Suggested College Council Policies and Procedures

#### Evaluation of the College Council

The shared governance process will be periodically reviewed in order to evaluate its success:

- Such periodic review /evaluation will focus on the effectiveness of the College Council and will obtain feedback from the college community on the effectiveness of shared participatory governance.
- 2. Such periodic review /evaluation could consist of a self-assessment by the College Council and a survey, by means of a questionnaire, of the constituent bodies represented on the College Council.
- 3. Such review/evaluation should be undertaken annually.

#### A Proposed Code of Ethics for Participants in Shared Governance (by Tom Nussbaum, November, 1991)

#### <u>Preface</u>

"The California Community Colleges face an unprecedented challenge in the coming two decades, as California undergoes a major demographic, social and economic transformation. The community colleges are at the center of this change, and the state's future as a healthy and free, diverse, and creative society depends in major part upon the commitments expressed through and in the community colleges.

The community colleges educate hundreds of thousands of Californians each year, and are the route to higher education for the majority of our people, provide access to language and citizenship for tens of thousands of immigrants annually, retrain workers in an economy changing more rapidly than any in history, and are the last hope for older citizens seeking skills and involvement in their communities. To do these things well, to bring excitement and power into the lives of students so diverse and needing so much, to serve the economy and society through its service of these students, requires a deep commitment from all who teach and learn, from those who administer and counsel, from those who fund and regulate." (AB 1725, Section 1, intent language)

In enacting AB 1725, the Legislature clearly recognized that our community colleges will play a major role in the state's future, and to successfully fulfill this role will require the commitment of each of us.

In enacting AB 1725, the Legislature also called upon us to strengthen shared governance so that we can bring our collective wisdom and experience to bear on the important decisions that we must make. Through mechanisms of shared governance, the knowledge and experience of committed individuals and organizations will be molded into better decisions than any of us could have made alone. In addition, because of our involvement, there is a greater likelihood that we will understand, embrace, and faithfully execute these jointly-developed decisions.

During the past few years we have devoted major energy towards defining the shared governance roles of faculty, staff, students, local governing boards, the systemwide consultation process, the Chancellor's Office, and the Board of Governors. Empowering these various constituencies vis-a-vis our governing boards and vis-a-vis one another has been a complex, emotional, and all-consuming task. It has diverted our attention from the correlative needs to focus on why we are practicing shared governance at all, and how we, as individuals, should comport ourselves in this practice. Towards this end, it is appropriate that each individual within the California Community Colleges should reaffirm his or her personal commitment to our students and our mission by subscribing to a common code of personal conduct.

#### The Community College Credo

1. I reaffirm my deep and personal commitment to the students who attend our community colleges and to the mission we are asked to fulfill. In meeting this commitment, my highest value and foremost consideration will be devoted to serving the best interests of students by providing the best possible programs and services in our colleges.

2. I recognize that if our community colleges are to successfully serve the best interests of students and assist our state in becoming more healthy, free, diverse, and creative, that my contribution alone will not enable it to happen. I recognize that the commitment must come from everyone, at all levels, and that we are mutually dependent upon one another to perform our respective roles with excellence. The Board of Governors, Chancellor, Chancellor's staff, district governing boards, chief executive officers, administrators, faculty, support staff, and, most importantly, students all play critical roles; none of us alone can make it happen.

3. I reaffirm that each of us who fulfills a role also has value because of the knowledge and experience we contribute to making enlightened decisions. I thereby commit myself to work with my colleagues, to value their perspectives in searching out the best answer, using the best reasoning and most accurate information possible. Rather than dwelling on who has, or should have, the legal authority to make a decision, my primary focus will always be making the best decision.

4. In practicing shared governance, I will be honest, open, candid, tolerant, and trustful; and I will expect and cultivate the same behavior from all others in the process. I will place a high value on building communication and trust. In so doing, I will refrain from words or behavior that either personally demeans another participant, or discounts his or her contribution or legitimate role. If I believe that another participant has broken a trust, been dishonest, or has otherwise behaved inappropriately, I will first attempt to address my concerns with that person privately.

5. In practicing shared governance, there will be occasions that I, or the group of which I am a part, has the legal power to make the decision. In such instances, I will refrain from making such decisions in a unilateral and authoritarian manner. Instead, before I make the decision, I will attempt to understand and incorporate the reasoning and perspectives of others who should be involved in the decision, and I will attempt to lead consensus about or agreement with the decision. If, after these efforts, I conclude that consensus cannot reasonably be reached, or if I conclude that I cannot accept a consensus recommendation, I will meet with the affected parties to discuss my intended decision and the reasons for making it.

6. In practicing shared governance, there will be occasions that I, or the group of which I am a part, does not have the legal power to make the decision; instead, there will be a right to participate in the decision, or to be relied upon in its making. In such instances, I will respect the ultimate legal authority of another person or body

to make a decision, so long as there has been an attempt to understand and incorporate the reasoning and perspectives of the various parties of interest, and so long as there has been a good faith attempt to gain consensus about the decision.

7. In practicing shared governance, I will guard against tendencies of institutional, group, and personal self interest that can divert the focus from making the best decision. When the focus is on accommodating the interests of the various groups that participate in shared governance, the result will predictably be one that meets the interests of respective participants. The result, however, may not be the best decision for students, particularly if the concerns and interests of those external to the process have not been addressed. Consequently, when I participate in making a decision, I will first be concerned with meeting the best interests of students; then I will be concerned with the interests of the other participants in the process and the interests of the group I represent.

8. In practicing shared governance, I recognize that conflict and disagreement is inevitable. Indeed, the more decisions that are made through shared governance mechanisms, the greater the likelihood that there will be differences of opinion. As a direct consequence of shared governance, we have empowered ourselves with the responsibility to decide difficult issues. The challenge is to resolve conflict, or at least bring it to closure, within the shared governance framework. To export the disagreement and decision to an external body is to disempower our shared governance mechanisms from resolving the issue. Consequently, absent a full attempt to resolve conflict within the shared governance framework, I will not resort to, or threaten to resort to, an external dispute resolution mechanism.

9. In practicing shared governance, I will be mindful of that these processes take time and money. For every hour of time and dollar of money we devote to shared governance mechanisms, we have one less hour and one less dollar to spend on other priorities such as direct instruction and services to students. While it is imperative to the success of our students and our mission that shared governance mechanisms be afforded the necessary time and resources to function effectively, I recognize the need to consider these commitments of time and resources against our fundamental role of providing direct instruction and services to students.

10. Finally, in practicing shared governance, I reaffirm my ongoing commitment to improve our processes and interactions with one another. Establishing trust and communication take time and commitment. These essential conditions must be nurtured and evolved; neither can be mandated, and neither can happen overnight. I recognize also that communication and trust are fragile and easily broken. Once broken, repair becomes even more difficult. Once broken, our capacity to make the best decision is seriously weakened. I therefore reaffirm my responsibility to lead the development of trust and communication, knowing that these conditions are at the heart of our mutual and deep commitment to meeting the needs of the students and mission we serve.

## ASCSM Budget Report

Printed material related to this item will be distributed at the meeting.

### **ASCSM Core Values Statement**

There is no printed material related to this item.